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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In fewer than five years, Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR)-genome-editing technology has taken the scientific community by storm and has revolutionized the pace of modern biology, promising an era of curative medicine and rapid biotechnological breakthroughs. Thanks to its accessible cost and ease-of-use, CRISPR has democratized genome-editing. Many companies are deploying the CRISPR platform to commercialize novel therapies and to increase research and development productivity across the drug discovery process, agriculture, diagnostics, chemicals, and material sciences.

ARK believes that CRISPR is biotech’s breakthrough of the century and that it will have a profound impact on the world’s most salient issues, especially as its toolbox expands. In the near-term, ARK expects the first commercial CRISPR products will be for agricultural purposes, as it increases global food supply and enhances sustainability measures. In medicine, CRISPR’s addressable market in the monogenic disease space totals more than $75 billion annually with nearly $2 trillion in latent demand from unaddressed populations. When juxtaposed with the fact that monogenic diseases only account for an estimated 2% of all genetic diseases, it is evident that CRISPR technology is still in its infancy. This is a platform in its earliest stages.

This paper will elucidate the significance of genome-editing, the origins of CRISPR, how it works and how it compares to legacy genome-editing technologies. Then, it will examine CRISPR’s market opportunity across application areas and extrapolate the direct and indirect investable opportunities as a result of CRISPR technology.

RESEARCH HIGHLIGHTS

- $250 billion annual global CRISPR-enabled CAR-T addressable market
- $75 billion in annual global revenue potential for addressing all 10,000 monogenic diseases
- $1.9 trillion global addressable market for monogenic diseases on a prevalence basis
- $170 billion in agriculture-crops, livestock, and aquaculture—value creation by 2025
- 585 trillion increase in calorie production, feeding an additional 800 million people by 2025
- 35% Aquaculture global market expansion by 2025
- Emerging trends in CRISPR-based diagnostics, antibiotic resistance, drug discovery, data storage,
1 THE RISE OF CRISPR

What is CRISPR?
CRISPR is a simple, powerful and programmable genome-editing tool. An acronym for Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats, CRISPR is the equivalent of a “molecular Swiss Army Knife” composed of two parts: first, the body, or the ‘guide RNA’, that isolates the portion of the DNA that a researcher wishes to manipulate and, second, the tool, or ‘nuclease’, that performs an operation on that stretch of DNA. In a simple example of today’s most popular guide-nuclease pairing, CRISPR-Cas9, CRISPR guides the molecular Swiss Army Knife to a targeted spot in the genome and uses Cas9 as “molecular scissors” to cut the double-strand of DNA. Other nucleases can alter the specificity and cutting efficiency/patterns, target RNA and address different stretches of the genome. In this paper, “CRISPR” will encompass all CRISPR editing systems and mechanisms of action.

Why CRISPR? It’s Cheaper, Faster, Easier
CRISPR makes genome-editing relatively simple. Compared to other editing techniques, CRISPR is much faster and cheaper, as shown in the chart below. An answer to funding constraints, it is increasing the productivity of research by lowering the cost per experiment. CRISPR is democratizing science by lowering the level of sophistication required to carry out meaningful scientific experiments so that even high school students are experimenting with the technology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ZFNs¹</th>
<th>TALENs²</th>
<th>CRISPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Human Cell Modification</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to Manufacture (days)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost (per pair of nucleases)</td>
<td>~$5,500</td>
<td>~$360</td>
<td>~$30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ARK Investment Management LLC

ARK expects that CRISPR gene-editing costs will decline at a rate similar to that of TALENs-based editing. Targeting a customized stretch of DNA, the cost of a TALENs experiment dropped from $10,000 to roughly $1,400 in the 4 years from 2012 to 2016, and almost 10-fold in the eight years since its discovery, as shown in Figure 1.

¹ ZFNs: Zinc Finger Nucleases
² TALENs: Transcription activator-like effector nuclease
In the 6 years since its discovery, CRISPR’s costs per reaction already are 3- to 6-fold below those for TALENs, depending on the type of modification, as shown in the chart below.
In addition to its favorable cost-efficiency and manufacturing profile, CRISPR is orders of magnitude easier to use. Researchers can be trained in as little as a week, and middle school science classes already are experimenting with CRISPR. As a result, it is reinvigorating categories of research that have been dormant for years, importantly stem cells, while stimulating drug discovery and novel therapies like CAR-T.

Because of CRISPR’s significant boost to their productivity, scientists are adopting CRISPR technology in droves, spending their valuable research and development (R&D) hours expanding its tool set. Illustrating its increasing importance to R&D efforts, the number of publications focused on CRISPR has risen dramatically relative to other gene-editing technologies, as shown below.

Demonstrating its profound impact on scientific research, more than 50% of all CRISPR publications are amongst the 10% most-cited papers, and roughly 20% are in the top 1%. Consequently, CRISPR is attracting a disproportionate amount of R&D dollars relative to other technologies in the space.
2 CRISPR MARKET OPPORTUNITY: HUMAN THERAPEUTICS

Transforming Health Care
Assuming “one size fits all”, medicine today treats symptoms rather than the underlying drivers of disease, a clearly suboptimal approach given the considerable genetic variation from person to person. As our understanding of human biology increases, medicine will become personalized, enough so that intractable diseases with a strong genetic basis, like cancer, might be cured.

Not only will genome editing correct mutations, but it also will enhance and accelerate our understanding of basic biology. The human body is more than the sum of its 3.2 billion DNA base pairs. CRISPR will help to identify the complex connections among gene sequences, gene synthesis, proteins, metabolism, organs, and disease.

Instead of treating symptoms, researchers now are focused on finding cures for once chronic and fatal diseases, potentially changing the reimbursement paradigm in place today. As additional gene therapies are approved, value-based pricing could become more commonplace, as novel treatments with large sticker prices require post-commercialization monitoring of not only initial efficacy, but ongoing durability and other safety metrics.

CAR-T: The First Application of CRISPR in a Commercial Therapeutic Setting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the US, CAR-T has an annual addressable market of $17 billion for late stage cancers, with the potential to expand 6-fold to more than $100 billion once it is approved for early stage therapy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAR-T therapy is in its infancy, as the next generation will benefit from CRISPR gene-editing by increasing its potency, lowering cost of goods sold (COGS), and allowing allogeneic(^6) implementations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first application of CRISPR in a commercial therapeutic setting likely will occur in chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T)\(^7\) therapy, a type of immunotherapy that harnesses an individual’s immune cells to target and kill cancerous cells while leaving healthy cells intact. According to ARK’s estimates, the global addressable market for CAR-T will be roughly $40 billion annually if its applications remain limited to stage 3 and stage 4 metastatic cancers. If applied to all stages of cancer, the market could scale to $250 billion. As a novel class of therapy, CAR-T will require many clinical trials and post-trial surveillance to validate its safety and efficacy in earlier lines of treatment.

---

\(^6\) Allogeneic delivery of a therapeutic product refers to a donor-derived or off-the-shelf source of biological products as opposed to a patient-derived source

\(^7\) CAR-T market-sizing in this paper does not include advances and market potential of CAR natural killer (CAR-NK) cells.
In the US, 2017 marked a historic year for gene therapy, as the FDA approved two CAR-T immunotherapies targeting hematologic (blood) cancers. ARK estimates that the addressable market for immunotherapies in the US will approach over $100 billion, as shown below, validating the burgeoning number of biotech companies entering the CAR-T space. Underlying this estimate is the assumption that CAR-T will address 70% of all liquid tumors but only 10% of solid tumors.

CARs are potential targets to which molecular geneticists can add epitopes to the receptor region of T cells and gain significant control over the human body’s immune system. Unlike traditional chemotherapy, CAR proteins force T cells to recognize and kill cancerous cells and arm the immune system’s “memory” cells to recognize any future invasions of malignant cells in the event of cancer recurrence, allowing complete cancer remissions in up to 90% of patients. While CAR-T treatments can cause serious side effects, such as cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and neurotoxicity, after failing multiple lines of therapy, metastatic cancer patients often have no other therapeutic option.

CAR-T is a “living drug”. In other words, no two CAR products are the same. Its response rates vary by indication, target, composition, manufacturing processes and other variables.

Unlike early CAR-T therapies, the next-generation will leverage CRISPR to enhance both therapeutic and manufacturing efficiencies. CRISPR can add precision to the delivery of CAR genes, unlike viral vectors which insert CAR genes randomly into T-cell DNA. Additionally, Researchers at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) have shown that T-cells created using CRISPR are highly potent, outperforming traditional CAR-T cells.
Further, CRISPR-editing enhances anti-tumor activity by deleting genes that inhibit a T cell’s ability to recognize tumors. Immune checkpoint protein PD-1 is a T-cell surface receptor that tumors often exploit to dampen a T-cell’s activity to fight cancerous cells. In a trial at the University of Pennsylvania, researchers used CRISPR to disrupt the gene coding for PD-1 protein thereby creating PD-1 deficient CAR-T cells that could eradicate tumor cells.\textsuperscript{10} Because CAR-T cells are engineered outside of the body and tested for efficacy before being transfused into patients, cells edited by CRISPR are considered safe.

CAR-T therapy development and infusion process

CAR-T requires the proliferation of tens to hundreds of millions of T-cells which can take two to three weeks. For that reason, sourcing T-cells from sick patients, or the autologous CAR-T process, can fail if the starting amount is too low.

Metastatic cancer patients do not have the luxury of time necessary to generate their own T-cells. Consequently, cultivating colonies of cancer-fighting T-cells “off the shelf”, also known as allogeneic CAR-T, often is more productive, cutting time and costs significantly. Of course, introducing somebody else’s T-cells into a patient’s body poses its own risks. If the body interprets those T-cells as foreign invaders it will fight them and accelerate the patient’s decline. In organ transplants doctors seek to avoid this sort of reaction, known as Graft versus Host Disease, by seeking genetically compatible donors, a process that is time-consuming, expensive and sometimes futile.

Obviating the need for a compatible donor, CRISPR can introduce genes that encode CARs into immune system cells in a non-major histocompatibility complex (MHC). Cellectis (CLLS) is one

of the companies focused on the allogeneic approach, albeit with TALEN gene-editing technology. Kite, now owned by Gilead, is developing allogeneic CAR-T therapies combining genome-editing and stem cells. Allogene, a private company founded by ex-Kite executives, has announced that it will collaborate with Cellectis on the development of allogeneic CAR-T therapies.

While ARK estimates that approximately 60% of cancers will submit to allogeneic administration, some indications will be too complex and, as with bone marrow transplants, will be limited to the autologous sourcing of T-cells. More costly to deliver but more effective in complex cancer cases, autologous CAR-T therapy could account for more than 60% of the total CAR-T addressable market, as depicted below. In other words, while allogeneic therapies might dominate the number of cancer treatments, autologous therapies probably will garner a disproportionate share of the revenues, as shown below.

While gene therapies are beginning to cure cancer, they are causing sticker shock in these early days. Novartis priced the first approved CAR-T therapy, Kymriah, at $475,000, payable only if the patient responded within the first month, and Kite priced its CAR-T therapy, Yescarta, at $373,000. That said, compared to traditional therapies that suppress cancer, the costs relative to the benefits of gene therapy are in a different orbit. Moreover, as with most new technologies, the costs should decline as the market scales and, as shown in Figure 8, the price elasticity of demand associated with gene therapies is enormous.

Currently, more than 40 companies are developing increasingly advanced and safe CAR-T therapies for various cancer indications. Juno Therapeutics (JUNO), Poseida Therapeutics, Cellectis (CLLS), and Bellicum Pharmaceuticals (BLCM) are creating “kill switches” for CAR-T therapies to enhance its safety. Upon detection of negative side effects, physicians will be able to turn off CAR activity with a neutralizing agent. Now owned by Celgene, JUNO also is creating a protocol to administer CAR-T therapy on an outpatient basis, an indication of the rapid progress of this technology.

---

Three publicly traded companies are enhancing various CAR-T products with CRISPR, while another is working with TALENs technology. As shown in the table below, Intellia Therapeutics (NTLA), Editas Medicine (EDIT), and Bluebird Bio (BLUE) have partnered with leading CAR-T companies, while CRISPR Therapeutics (CRSP) has opted to develop its own program.

By partnering with established companies focused on CAR-T therapies, gene therapy companies should benefit from manufacturing and distribution scale while milestone- and royalty-based revenue continue to fund other CRISPR- or TALENS-based therapeutic initiatives. Bluebird Bio, for example, is collaborating with Celgene on the first CAR-T program to capitalize on its proprietary MegaTALENS gene-editing platform. The below chart summarizes these CAR-T/gene-editing collaborations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENE-EDITING COMPANIES</th>
<th>CAR-T COMPANIES</th>
<th>COLLABORATION ECONOMICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Intellia Therapeutics | Novartis*        | - Up to $230M in milestone payments per product  
|                        |                 | - Up to $50M in committed collaboration funding  
|                        |                 | - $18M equity investment  
|                        |                 | - Mid-single digit to low-teen royalties  |
| Editas Medicine        | Juno            | - $25M upfront plus $22M in potential research support  
|                        |                 | - $230M in milestones per program  
|                        |                 | - Low-double digit royalties  |
| CRISPR Therapeutics    | N/A             | - no collaborations as of publication  |
| Bluebird Bio           | Celgene         | - Undisclosed upfront payment  
|                        |                 | - Up to $225M per product in potential option fees and clinical and regulatory milestones  
|                        |                 | - 50/50 co-development and profit share in the US  |

*As of Dec. 12, 2017, NVS reduced its equity stake in NTLA by 3.61% down to 9.76% due to internal pipeline re-focusing efforts with a competing drug.*
Monogenic Disorders

| Addressing all monogenic diseases, CRISPR could generate up to $75 billion in annual revenue based on the incidence of disease, and up to ~$2 trillion based on the prevalence of disease. |
| Monogenic diseases account for only 2% of all genetic diseases, pointing to significant upside for CRISPR and other gene-editing technologies as they mature. |

CRISPR’s potential to correct genetic mutations and cure disease is profound. To date, scientists have identified approximately 10,000 human monogenic diseases, conditions caused by errors in a single gene. While each is rare, the incidence of all 10,000 monogenic diseases is exceptionally high: 1 in 100 children is born with some sort of monogenic disease. Huntington’s disease and hemophilia are two of the better-known examples. If CRISPR technology were to address all 10,000 of these monogenic diseases, the participating companies could generate $75 billion in revenue globally per year.

While historically therapeutics have focused on symptoms of disease, gene therapy aims to address the underlying causes of disease by correcting the mutations in gene sequences encoded by DNA. In principle, correcting faulty genes should restore normal gene and protein function, reversing disease. While various gene therapy and genome-editing technologies have evolved in the last few decades CRISPR should be the most disruptive to traditional therapies.

FIGURE 9
Addressing all monogenic diseases could yield approx. $75 billion in global annual treatment revenue on an incidence basis

While each monogenic disease is rare, CRISPR should be able to address them all, potentially generating annual revenues of $30 billion in the US and $75 billion globally, as shown above. Today, therapies that treat the symptoms of rare disease can cost more than $100,000 per year. Logically, a therapeutic cure

should command a substantial premium. While the average cost probably will be $500,000 per cure, we estimate that $2 million would be neutral compared to the current cost of treating chronic conditions. Based on ARK’s research, higher upfront spending should eliminate future healthcare expenditures.

Important to note, the addressable populations include not just individuals born with disease – the incidence rate of disease any given year - but also those who were diagnosed in prior years - or the prevalence of disease. The latter group typically has been treated with expensive and inadequate therapies. If CRISPR were to target monogenic diseases by prevalence as opposed to incidence, its one time global addressable market would expand by an additional $1.9 trillion.

Of course, every disease indication will require its own R&D effort and submit to different delivery vectors and RNA guides. Consequently, a number of CRISPR-based companies will become beneficiaries of the technology. Currently, only three stocks traded on US exchanges are focused on CRISPR human therapeutics: Intellia, Editas, and CRISPR Therapeutics. The sheer size of the market opportunity suggests that many companies could be large beneficiaries.
**Complex Polygenic Diseases**

Caused by just one gene in the human genome, monogenic diseases have become the primary focus of the first generation of CRISPR therapeutics. CRISPR is still a relatively new genome-editing technology, so scientists are proceeding cautiously. Monogenic diseases comprise only 2% of all diseases known to have a genetic basis. The majority of other diseases is caused by mutations in multiple genes. Nearly all non-infectious and infectious diseases are genetic in nature, the genes at fault being in human cells in the former and in bacteria or viruses in the latter.

![Monogenic diseases comprise 2% off all known genetic diseases](source: Krimsky, Sheldon, and Jeremy Gruber. Genetic Explanations: Sense and Nonsense. Harvard University Press, 2013.)

While many lab groups have corrected mutations in animal models in the US, human trials have just begun. Regulatory approval will be lengthy, as scientists establish safety and efficacy guidelines. Currently, the three US listed CRISPR companies - CRISPR Therapeutics, Intellia, and Editas - are focused on optimizing vector delivery systems and targeting monogenic diseases safely. Still in preclinical studies, Editas and CRISPR Therapeutics expect to file investigational new drug applications (INDs) for human trials in 2018, and Intellia in 2019, suggesting commercialization in the next five to seven years if traditional therapeutic development timelines apply. We would not be surprised to see an accelerated approval process if CRISPR-based therapeutics result in outright cures.
3 CRISPR MARKET OPPORTUNITY: AGRICULTURE

According to ARK’s research and as shown below, CRISPR technology will expand the global agriculture industry—crops, livestock, and aquaculture—by $170 billion between now and 2025. CRISPR can increase crop yields, create climate-resistant traits, make meat “meatier” and taste “tastier”, add nutritional value to food products, and increase production efficiency in other ways while mitigating environmental risks.

While the agricultural industry has made tremendous progress in the past century, thanks to evolving animal and plant domestication techniques, the current model is unsustainable. The demand for higher yields and more nutritious agricultural products is increasing rapidly, not only because of population growth but also because of evolving dietary needs and preferences, changing climate conditions, and increasing demand for biofuels. According to the United Nations, the global population will expand from more than 7 billion people today to approximately 9.6 billion, pushing food demand up 70%, by 2050.15

Not only will existing technologies be unable to meet these food production goals but inefficiencies in supply chain management will exacerbate the problem, preventing what food is produced from reaching hungry consumers. Pollution caused by the anthropogenic use of land and sea for the breeding and rearing of livestock and aquaculture also could impede progress. Not only should CRISPR technology be able to satisfy the future demand for agriculture but it also could overcome many of these distribution and environmental challenges.

Because farmed fishing has not been a beneficiary of much innovation, CRISPR will impact aquaculture growth more dramatically than that of any other agricultural sub-industry, as shown below. In dollar terms, however, it should impact aquaculture the least, while the largest of the sub-industries, crops, will benefit the most. Because of unknown secondary effects, livestock producers are likely to adopt CRISPR technology slowly, awaiting evidence of stronger farm animals with longer lifespans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agriculture Sub-Industries</th>
<th>2017 Global Industry Value</th>
<th>Expected Growth in the Next 8 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crops</td>
<td>$1.3 Trillion Industry</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>$560 Billion Industry</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquaculture</td>
<td>$160 Billion Industry</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRISPR technology should help generate enough calories to accommodate global population growth during the next five to ten years. Currently, the global population is growing at a rate of 1.12%, or 78-83 million people per year,\(^{16}\) pointing to an increase of 630 million people who will require roughly 460 trillion calories to satisfy the FDA’s guideline of 2,000 calories per day, between 2017 and 2025.\(^{17}\) According to our calculations, CRISPR will be able to boost overall calories available for global consumption by 6%, exceeding the number necessary for 630 million people by 27%. Indeed, as illustrated below, CRISPR should boost agricultural productivity at a rate faster than population growth over time.

---


\(^{17}\) “Efficient” production refers to net calories produced and not lost to crops used for purposes other than consumption.
Of course, this forecast relies on an efficient farm-to-table ecosystem, not only in terms of calorie allocation but also supply chain management. Surprisingly, 40% of the US food supply, roughly $180 billion, is lost to waste. While it might be able to address as much as 27% of the damage today, CRISPR ultimately could solve the problem completely, all else equal, as shown in the graph below.

In the chart below are examples of CRISPR’s potential impact on agricultural sub-industries.
CRISPR is enabling scientists to delve into human biology, from basic research through drug development. Now they can engineer biological circuits and understand cellular systems aimed at reversing disease states. CRISPR offers improvements throughout every step of the drug development process:

4 CRISPR MARKET OPPORTUNITY: DIAGNOSTICS, ANIMAL DISEASE MODELS, ANTI-MICROBIAL RESISTANCE, AND DNA STORAGE

CRISPR is enabling scientists to delve into human biology, from basic research through drug development. Now they can engineer biological circuits and understand cellular systems aimed at reversing disease states. CRISPR offers improvements throughout every step of the drug development process:

- Removed HIV from three living organisms
- Reversed Huntington's disease in mice
- Engineered algae to produce twice as much biofuel compared to unmodified algae
- Encoded and played back a video of a galloping horse in living E. coli bacteria cells
- Edited genetic disease out of human embryonic cells
- Delivered nanoparticle of CRISPR constructs to the liver with a single prick and 80% efficiency
- Hacked bacterial genomes to create a pharmaceutical manufacturing factory
- Mapped DNA mutations using new CRISPR-based Imaging
- Altered disease phenotypes without epigenetically cutting DNA

---

20 “Gene Editing Reverses Huntington’s in Mouse Model.” Emory News Center, 20 June 2017, news.emory.edu/stories/2017/06/6h_d_jciCRISPR/index.html.
24 “CRISPR Can Now Hitch a Ride on Nanoparticles to Battle Disease.” Singularity Hub, Singularity Hub, 22 Nov. 2017, singularityhub.com/2017/11/22/crispr-can-now-hitch-a-ride-on-nanoparticles-to-battle-disease/#sm.00001lm1elw9ze2oxv8vla3kon7I
CRISPR’s applications extend well beyond therapeutics and agriculture. A “molecular Swiss army knife”, CRISPR is flexible, easy to use, and cost effective, so much so that researchers have re-purposed it to address other unmet needs. Among the CRISPR applications that have evolved since its discovery in 2012 are the following:

1. DIAGNOSTICS
CRISPR can detect viruses that are infinitesimally small, enabling robust, cost-effective and rapid point-of-care (POC) diagnostic tools. It can detect viruses at a molecular concentration of $10^{-18}$, the atto level of precision that researchers rarely observe. Yet, Feng Zhang, one of the intellectual property holders for SHERLOCK (see below), estimates that a CRISPR-powered diagnostic test might cost as little as $0.61$.²⁸

Scientists at both UC Berkeley and the Broad Institute have evolved competing diagnostic platforms. UC Berkeley is developing its platform on CRISPR-Cas12a, while the Broad Institute is developing on CRISPR-Cas13. Unlike Cas9, when Cas12a targets DNA it unleashes an enzyme that indiscriminately cuts all single stranded DNA. Cas13 targets RNA instead of DNA and devours it. Both teams have leveraged the devouring functionality to release a fluorescent protein in the presence of a particular virus.

Both UC Berkeley and the Broad Institute hopes their platforms, DETECTR (Endonuclease Targeted CRISPR Trans Report) and SHERLOCK (Specific High-sensitivity Enzymatic Reporter unLOCKing), respectively, will be able to respond to viral and bacterial outbreaks, monitor antimicrobial resistance, detect cancer in blood samples at Stage 1, and monitor cancer mutations over time. Their impact on public health, particularly in emerging markets without access to adequate health care, could be profound.

2. ANIMAL DISEASE MODELS
Before entering human tests, an investigational drug must pass rigorous safety and toxicity tests in animals. Before CRISPR, creating a mouse model with a single mutation took years, with costs mounting to $20,000 per mutation²⁹ not counting animal breeding chambers and infrastructure.

CRISPR allows researchers to create complex animal disease models in as little as three months.³⁰ Transgenic animal models no longer need to be backcrossed, a process that typically requires 10 to 11 generations of breeding.³¹ Instead researchers edit animal genomes directly, generating a strain of their choosing. Operating at the germline level, scientists can create entirely new animal lineages with customized complex mutations.

3. MICROBIOME RESEARCH AND DRUG RESISTANCE

CRISPR technology should be able to combat drug resistance and add new dimensions to microbiome research. Increasingly, research studies are showing that the composition of the gut microbiome is complicit in both disease and health.

During the third quarter of 2017, Eligo Biosciences sealed a $20 million Series A venture funding after reporting in vivo proof-of-concept data demonstrating the power of targeting gut bacteria selectively. Co-founded by Luciano Marraffini, also a founding member of Intellia Therapeutics, the private French company harnesses the power of CRISPR-Cas3 technology to target and kill “bad” bacteria while preserving “good” bacteria in the human microbiome. Once CRISPR finds the target sequence associated with bad bacteria, Cas3 devours it, disabling its ability to replicate.

Eligo’s platform has wide-ranging ramifications for health care, particularly because it can address drug-resistant bacteria such as MRSA and C. diff. As it discovers causal relationships among the 10 trillion bacteria in the human microbiome, Eligo’s addressable opportunity could grow significantly. Current antibiotic treatments kill not only bad bacteria but also the bacteria necessary for human health. Moreover, antibiotics can cause both bacterial-resistance and superbugs. Indeed, according to some estimates, by 2050 antibiotic microbial resistance (AMR) will cause mortality rates even greater than the number of lives lost to cancer. By 2050, 10 million lives per year could be lost to antimicrobial resistance compared to 8.2 million lives lost to cancer. Consequently, CRISPR-mediated bacterial targeting could change the course of human health.

4. DNA STORAGE

Lights. Camera, Action! For the first time in history, scientists stored and played back data in living cells. Led by a team including George Church from the Harvard Wyss Institute, scientists successfully hacked a natural bacterial immune system and used it as a repository for digital data.

DNA is not only the most stable structure in nature but also one of the most durable at high levels of heat. Each human cell contains 3.2 billion base pairs of genetic code, 6 feet of information if placed end to end, effectively a powerful storage device. No wonder scientists have been working towards storing digital data in human cells for years.

In addition to storing DNA, one day CRISPR could create a way to record events that cells undergo during development, otherwise known as “synthetically created memory hotspots”. Scientists from the Broad Institute have been studying the CRISPR Cas1 and Cas2 proteins, re-engineering their functions to create...
a “molecular memory” bank, instead of focusing on the “molecular scissors” function. Derived from a bacterial adaptive immune system which fends off viral invaders, CRISPR depends on immunological memory. It records molecular events in living cells, such as past viral infections, by integrating short bits of viral DNA into a genomic array. Recently, scientists have discovered the role that Cas1-Cas2 enzymes play in bacterial immunity. The viral sequences, known as “protospacers”, depend on the Cas1-Cas2 complex for integration into the host genome, at which point the memory sequence becomes the “spacer”. New spacers occupy distinct moments so that, over time, the genomic array evolves from a long history of spacers, all in chronological order.

Thus far, scientists at the Broad Institute have recorded specific and arbitrary DNA sequences in living bacterial cells over a number of days. In the future, they hope to establish molecular guidelines for recording key moments in cell formation, including neural development and fate decisions from early stem cells to a highly specialized cell types.

5. CRISPRi/CRISPRa: DIMMER, EPIGENETIC REGULATOR, & TRACKER

While scientists are harnessing CRISPR technology to edit DNA and cure genetic diseases, they also are grappling with the risk of off-target effects that can alter the genome deleteriously and permanently. To overcome safety issues, they have begun to edit RNA instead of DNA with CRISPR technology, controlling protein production at the post-transcription level. Targeting protein production at the RNA level obviates the need to make permanent changes at the DNA level. Therapeutics based on RNA-targeting are reversible.

CRISPRi and CRISPRa, or CRISPR activation, act like dimmer switches, changing a gene’s ability to code proteins without altering its structure. Scientists have been using CRISPR with a deactivated form of Cas9, dCas9, to track the movement of proteins and identify the presence of genes given environmental, or epigenetic, changes. With a fluorescent protein attached to a deactivated Cas9, CRISPR can track biological processes, including cell and drug metabolism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUCLEASE</th>
<th>CHARACTERISTICS DIFFERENTIATOR</th>
<th>BEST SUITED FOR...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cas9</td>
<td>Cuts double stranded DNA</td>
<td>Gene Knock-down Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cpf1</td>
<td>Offsets double-stranded cut</td>
<td>Gene Knock-in potentially easier to deliver due to smaller size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cas13a</td>
<td>Targets RNA</td>
<td>Diagnostics purposes with low expression levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cas3</td>
<td>Demolishes DNA completely</td>
<td>Elimination of bacteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ARK Investment Management LLC
REGULATORY HURDLES AND ETHICS

The US regulatory system is robust, pro-patient and pro-innovation. It serves as a model for other countries seeking best practices in the research on and development of genome-editing. With decades of experience reviewing gene therapy-related research, the FDA has the authority in the US to regulate products derived from human cells and gene therapy as biologics or drugs which cannot be marketed or commercialized before they demonstrate safety and efficacy. That said, in 2017 the FDA approved two gene therapies, Kymriah for pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and Yescarta for aggressive Non-Hodgkin’s Lymphoma (NHL), highlighting one of FDA Commissioner Scott Gotlieb’s primary goals, to work with biotech companies to expedite breakthrough therapies that will transform patient lives.

Editing Diseases in Human Embryos

As scientists have begun to research the gene editing of human embryonic stem cells, they have elicited both ethical and safety concerns. Genome editing in germline cells—cells involved in reproduction and responsible for passing down traits to offspring—could have unpredictable effects on future generations. Critics believe such research could be exploited for non-therapeutic modifications and trait selection, otherwise known as eugenics or the creation of “designer babies”, hindering research on the elimination of genetic disorders.

Although concerns about germline editing are valid, more than 40 countries discourage or ban such research. That said, China is blazing a trail in embryonic research while the US, which does not prohibit germline modification, is making tepid advances.

During the second quarter of 2017 a team of researchers led by Shoukhrat Mitalipov in Portland, Oregon, edited the first human embryo in the United States. As the news went viral, critics began railing against the prospect of “designer babies,” commenting that “the creation of gene-edited persons could be attempted at any moment, including at IVF [in vitro fertilization] clinics…in countries where there are no such legal restrictions.”

In February 2017, prior to the first edit of a human embryo, the U.S. National Academy of Science (NAS) summarized its views on genome-editing, dividing it into three categories:

1. basic research on human genome editing,
2. the use of such editing to treat diseases in living people, and
3. its use to change the genomes of embryos that could be inherited in future generations

---

While it suggested that the first two applications could have favorable benefits relative to risks, the NAS also concluded that embryonic editing will require extensive ethical discussion. In other words, editing the DNA of a human embryo to prevent a disease in a baby may be approved one day, perhaps in rare circumstances.

Thus far, regulators have not permitted edited human embryos to grow beyond an 8-cell blastula.\textsuperscript{38} The odds of these fertilized eggs surviving if implanted are very low, given the low efficiency of embryonic editing. Philosophically or ethically justifiable applications for this technology will be moot until researchers achieve safe outcomes and attain reproducible data over multiple generations.

In the meantime, CRISPR editing should continue to transform the course of disease in cells that cannot be passed down to future generations.

**Regulatory Controls on CRISPR in Agriculture**

Beyond biomedical applications, CRISPR will expedite crop and livestock breeding. Unlike genetically modified organisms (GMOs), CRISPR-modification edits a plant’s native genome without introducing foreign, or transgenic, DNA from other plants and animals. Accordingly, CRISPR-modified crops are more acceptable ethically, as they evolve much like they do in nature.

Consequently, in 2016 the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) decided not to regulate a strain of non-browning CRISPR-modified mushrooms, setting a precedent for future CRISPR-modified crops.\textsuperscript{39} Its decision should accelerate innovation in the crops market now that they can be cultivated and commercialized without a lengthy and costly regulatory process.

In contrast to CRISPR crops, CRISPR-modified livestock will face more delays. In draft guidance released in early 2017, the FDA indicated that it will require “intentionally modified” animals to seek regulatory approval, much like traditional pharmaceuticals.\textsuperscript{40} The ruling seems to be precautionary. In fact, the existence of guidance for gene-edited animals highlights the FDA’s willingness to embrace CRISPR-modified organisms and is tacit acknowledgement that their adoption is imminent.

**CONCLUSION**

The potential uses for genome-editing, include treating and preventing human disease and ensuring a more robust and reliable food supply. Although early human studies and advances showcased in this paper hold great promise, medical research is a long, expensive and meticulous process. Every promising


application for genome-editing, like all medical treatments, must undergo rigorous clinical trials following well established regulations to protect patient safety before it is available for routine use. Nonetheless, the FDA is forward-looking and is likely to approve the first CRISPR therapy in the next few years.

Beyond its potential to treat specific diseases, genome-editing is also giving researchers the unique capability to manipulate genes in their laboratories. This type of research, which scientists call “basic,” is anything but basic. It improves our scientific understanding of biological processes, further advancing the rate of innovation and enhancing human health while addressing issues caused by increasing rates of globalization.

Current breakthroughs in CRISPR technology are just the beginning, and we can expect the field to evolve rapidly. Until recently, sequencing DNA, has not been operationally significant. CRISPR enables researchers to engage with DNA sequencing data, interrogating gene function and modifying sequences. Prior to CRISPR, diagnostic tests had little value and justifying their reimbursement was painstaking. As therapeutic options expand for disease states, the value of diagnostic tests, including whole genome sequencing, should increase dramatically.

CRISPR is democratizing science and changing how we view disease and healthcare. Thus far, we have succeeded in reading DNA and editing DNA. In the next step of this trajectory, we can expect to “write” DNA through DNA synthesis. Just as editing capabilities have transformed the value of reading DNA, we can expect DNA synthesis technology to enhance the value of DNA editing. 2018 and 2019 should be big years for CRISPR, thanks to many clinical trials and an ever-increasing list of potential use cases.

What a time to be alive (and a time to live longer)!

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Manisha joined ARK as a thematic analyst on the Genomic Revolution team in February 2016. Her focus is on Gene Therapy, Gene-Editing, Instrumentation, Targeted Therapeutics, Agricultural Biology, Diagnostics, and Stem Cells.

Prior to ARK, Manisha worked as a management consultant for Kepler Cannon. Before that, she gained experience as a business solutions analyst at Attensity America. Manisha has over eight years of experience in translational research. Her experience includes the Weissman Lab at Stanford’s Institute of Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine Department where she won the Jessica Lynn Fellowship Award in Research from the School of Medicine. She also worked in the Late Life and Neurodegenerative Disorders Lab and the Education Technology Department for the School of Medicine, authoring virtual patients for differential diagnosis. Manisha gained experience at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center in the Human Oncology & Pathogenesis Program before she worked as a health policy project manager at Research Triangle Institute. Manisha graduated from Stanford with a Bachelor of Science in Science, Technology, and Society: Life Sciences and Biotechnology.
CRISPR — Market Opportunity and Key Players
Manisha Samy

©2018, ARK Investment Management LLC. All content is original and has been researched and produced by ARK Investment Management LLC (“ARK”) unless otherwise stated herein. No part of this content may be reproduced in any form, or referred to in any other publication, without the express written permission of ARK.

This material is for informational purposes only and does not constitute, either explicitly or implicitly, any provision of services or products by ARK. Nothing contained herein constitutes investment, legal, tax or other advice and is not to be relied on in making an investment or other decision. Investors should determine for themselves whether a particular service or product is suitable for their investment needs or should seek such professional advice for their particular situation.

All statements made herein are strictly beliefs and points of view held by ARK. Certain of the statements contained herein may be statements of future expectations and other forward-looking statements that are based on ARK’s current views and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. In addition to statements that are forward-looking by reason of context, the words “may, will, should, could, expects, plans, intends, anticipates, believes, estimates, predicts, potential, projected, or continue” and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. ARK assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking information contained herein. Although ARK has taken reasonable care to ensure that the information contained herein is accurate, no representation or warranty (including liability towards third parties), expressed or implied, is made by ARK as to its accuracy, reliability or completeness.

Any reference to a particular company or security is not an endorsement by ARK of that company or security or a recommendation by ARK to buy, sell or hold such security. ARK and clients as well as its related persons may (but do not necessarily) have financial interests in securities or issuers referenced. Past performance does not guarantee future results. The performance data quoted represents past performance and current returns may be lower or higher.

Any descriptions of, references to, or links to other publications, sites, products or services do not constitute an endorsement, authorization, sponsorship by or affiliation with ARK with respect to any such publication, site, product or service or its sponsor, unless expressly stated by ARK. Any such publication, site, product or service have not necessarily been reviewed by ARK and are provided or maintained by third parties over whom ARK exercises no control. ARK expressly disclaims any responsibility for the content, the accuracy of the information, and/or quality of products or services provided by or advertised by these third-party publications or sites.

ARK Invest
155 West 19th, 5th Floor
New York, NY 10011
info@ark-invest.com | www.ark-invest.com

JOIN THE CONVERSATION
@ARKInvest
@msamyARK